

Chapter 7

CONCLUSION:

THE *RHYME* OF HISTORY

It is often stated, more as conventional wisdom than verifiable truth, that history repeats itself. From this vantage point, outcomes occurring in one epoch, along with the actors behind such events, inevitably resurface at another point in time in a process fundamentally unchanging and immutable. Such a view of history, however, is at best uninformed and naïvely uncritical. History never repeats itself because every historical moment is unique. Nevertheless, the process of history does admit to a poetic quality that more accurately depicts its true character. History *rhymes* -- not repeats -- in revealing parallels between the events, actors, and outcomes from different periods. Implicit in this approach is the idea that the subject of history is not only continuity, but also that history is about development and change. This rhyme of history has guided the comparison of Swift and Dell in this study.

At the core of this comparison lies an issue of fundamental centrality in the field of regional economic development: how do economies grow and change, and what provides the catalytic agent in this process of transformation. What the cases of Swift and Dell reveal most profoundly is how *innovation*, conceived broadly as new products, processes, organizations, and reconfigured territories for profit-making, reshapes economies. Schumpeter, following insights from Karl Marx, argued that innovation, leading to business cycles, constituted the essence of the capitalist process. His approach to innovation and economic development was fundamentally historical.¹ Innovation occurred in waves as an evolutionary phenomenon that demarcated different historical periods. These periods were unique but possessed common and comparable characteristics. In focusing on Swift and Dell, this study has aimed to uncover shared patterns of innovation and transformation in economies across time. Swift and Dell engineered parallel worlds of innovation. Although separated by a century, these parallel worlds of innovation provide insights into the contours of economic development and change throughout different historical periods.

The Pattern of Innovation and Economic Change

The pattern of innovation at both Swift and Dell derives from a similar historical origin -- a revolution in communications. This shared phenomenon, one occurring during the late nineteenth century, the other occurring a century later, provided the historical and structural foundations for the innovations in production networks created by the two firms. The railroad and telegraph, and the overland system of interregional commerce created by this infrastructure, established the preconditions for Swift to recast the system of beef production and distribution. Similarly, the Internet, and the system of commerce evolving from this infrastructure, enabled Dell to reorganize the production, distribution and sales of personal computers.

From this common platform of communications breakthroughs emerged similar sequences of innovation in strategy, operational routines, and organizational structure at the two firms. These similar sequences of innovation in strategy, routines, and structure, in turn, resulted in the creation of new networks of production and distribution at Swift and Dell. The production and distribution networks of Swift and Dell, however, were more than innovations in economic space. The networks of both firms created new geographical spaces for economic activity. These innovations became geographically embedded in the way they routed flows of materials and information across space, and in the way both firms organized certain spatial relationships of proximity between key nodes in their networks in order to facilitate the high volume flows of materials in compressed real time frames. These reconfigured territories represent geographies of innovation. A similar route from the communications revolution, to the process of innovation in production networks, to the reconfiguration of territory for profit-making links the experiences of these two firms across time.

While communications revolutions provided the structural foundations for innovation at both Swift and Dell, what these new technologies actually created for both firms were *opportunities* to compete and seek profit in different ways. By reshaping the territorial boundaries of markets, and by recalibrating the time necessary for accomplishing the myriad information processing tasks in economic activity, communications revolutions open possibilities for firms to produce, buy, and sell differently and

¹ On this point see especially the work of Lazonick, 1991: 126; 1991b; 1994.

more efficiently. In this sense, it is the redefined set of opportunities, resulting from communications revolutions, that function as the *source* for innovation at the two firms (Dosi, 1997: 1532).²

Nevertheless, opportunity is insufficient as a causal explanation for innovation. The link between opportunity and innovation occurs through a process of *learning* within the firm. Both Swift and Dell had to learn, through experimentation, how to take advantage of the opportunities for profit-making created by technologies of the communications revolution, and deploy these technologies in business models for competing. This learning process is the most fundamental activity occurring inside the “black box” of the firm where innovation takes place (Rosenberg, 1982; Dosi, 1997: 1532).

With both Swift and Dell, this learning process was of a specific type. It was not knowledge acquisition in search of discovering the optimal design characteristics of products. Swift and Dell engaged in a process of “learning by doing” known as learning by *using* (Rosenberg, 1982b). Similar to the pathbreaking idea of learning by doing developed by Kenneth Arrow (1962), the process of learning by using results from direct involvement in the productive process. When firms learn by using, they engineer incremental improvements, through experimentation and trial and error, not in products, but in *processes* for making and marketing products (Rosenberg, 1982b: 121-122). The innovations of Swift and Dell evolved from capabilities developed within the firm, to learn by using, and resulted in the creation of more efficient processes for producing and distributing their products.

These process innovations engineered by Swift and Dell also united the two firms as technology *users*. Both firms were users of technologies produced by communications revolutions. What they learned by using was how to deploy these technologies in business models in creating new networks of production and trade. In this role as technology users -- in learning to deploy the technology of rails and telegraphy and the Internet in their production and distribution networks -- Swift and Dell became drivers of innovation itself.

Although Swift and Dell emerged from this process of innovation as the largest, and arguably

² In analyzing what occurs inside Rosenberg’s concept of the black box, Dosi distinguishes four primary elements: 1) *opportunities* which he insists are the “sources” of technological change and innovation; 2) *incentives* to exploit opportunities which presumably exist in the marketplace; 3) *capabilities* to learn and achieve innovation objectives; and 4) *organizational arrangements* through which to search for, and implement innovative advances (Dosi, 1997: 1532).

most successful firms in their respective industries, it was the impact they exerted on the competitive behavior of other companies that enabled the two innovators to influence patterns of development in the economy. Once the competitive superiority of the business models created by Swift and Dell became known by their competitors, and once the two firms had ascended into the top ranks of the beef and PC industries based upon these business models, the production and distribution networks they built became sources for the adaptive responses of firms in trying to compete with Swift and Dell. This process of *diffusion*, whereby innovations of entrepreneurial firms spread to others and become more generalized, is one of the primary mechanisms promoting growth and change in the economy. It is what completes the process described by Schumpeter as creative destruction in which firms imitate the creations of the successful innovator.

Both Swift and Dell influenced patterns of economic growth and change owing to the diffusion of their innovations to other meat packing and personal computer firm. The integrated and long distance beef network of Swift was soon the basis of the business models adopted by Armour and other firms in the meat packing industry. Swift's competitors built branch house networks from rail and telegraph technology that were virtually identical to the network developed by Swift. Similarly, the virtually integrated network of Dell, with its Internet-based system of demand and supply balancing and inventory compression, has emerged as the competitive standard that other firms in the PC industry -- with varying levels of success -- have aimed to duplicate. Dell's competitors, most notably Compaq, have used Dell's model in an effort to make the process of channel assembly resemble more closely Dell's Internet direct virtually integrated procurement, production, and distribution system. In fact, the contemporary impacts of Swift and Dell as innovators were so compelling that these companies influenced firms in other industries beyond meat packing and computing. A whole range of perishable goods industries imitated Swift while Dell's influence extends even into industries as traditional as autos (Chandler, 1977; Andrews, 1/26/2000; McWilliams and While, 12/1/99).

The innovations in production networks developed by Swift and Dell in effect, redefined standards for competition within and beyond their respective industries. In spreading beyond the two firms, the innovations of Swift and Dell induced a shared pattern of transformation in the economies of

the late nineteenth and late twentieth centuries. As their business systems diffused and spread, the two companies succeeded in creating economic worlds in their own image.

The Contours of Parallel Worlds

From this common platform of communications revolutions and innovation, Swift and Dell created comparable business systems and production networks. Comparisons between the two firms focus on three aspects of their production and distribution networks. These aspects include operations, organization, and territorial transformation.

Operations

In using communications revolutions as the basis for process innovations, both Swift and Dell assumed similar identities as logistics firms. Although they developed new products, Swift and Dell established their core capabilities in the sphere of circulation. They both captured value by organizing the movement of supplies, semi-finished, and finished goods through the processes of procurement, assembly and disassembly, and final sale. The key to this movement for both firms was the processing of information through new technologies of communication. Both firms, in effect, relied on new technologies for information processing in order to coordinate the circulation of goods.

The process innovations built by both companies consisted of *direct pull* systems of production and distribution. These direct pull systems of Swift and Dell operate on the basis of a similar principle. Customer orders, processed essentially in real time from breakthroughs in communications technology, are the source for setting the system of procurement, production and distribution in motion. In contrast to “push” systems where component supplies are stored as inventory and finished goods are pushed into the marketplace to be sold to customers on the basis of demand planning, the direct pull systems of Swift and Dell relied on orders from customers already received to ignite the process of materials procurement and production.

In both cases, perishability of the product was a primary factor motivating the development of these direct pull systems. In the case of Swift, cattle supplies and dressed beef had obvious perishable

qualities in which the product, both in its raw material form, and its disassembled form, would spoil and lose value if not processed in a timely manner. Pulling the material through the procurement and production process in real time is the most obvious way to mitigate the adverse economic impacts of product spoilage and value loss. Less obvious but by no means less relevant is the perishable quality of PC components and finished personal computers. Because of the rapid pace of technological change in PC components, especially in the microprocessor and disk drive, the PC loses roughly one percent of its value per week. Over time, such value loss is indeed significant. In reference to this perishable quality, Michael Dell himself referred to the PC as having “the shelf life of lettuce.” Much like Swift, pulling components through the procurement and production process, is designed to offset the perishable quality of PC component supplies and finished goods over time. Although one product is created from a living thing while the other is not, perishability in the form of value loss through time, creates a common thread in the direct pull innovations created by both firms.

The fact that both Swift and Dell operated pull systems of production made possible by technologies of communications revolutions, helps dispel the commonly held belief of mass production as a system based solely on producing in high volumes. The case of Swift reveals the mass production system indeed to be one of high volume, but also one in which producers used enormous amounts of information generated by new communications technology to modulate and control output in accordance with shifting demand, and to pull supplies as they were needed to meet shifting demand schedules. Communications and control were as important to Swift in its direct pull system as it is to Dell in organizing its direct production and distribution network.

In the case of Swift, sales agents at branch distribution houses collected orders from retail butchers in the vicinity of the branch. From branch houses, sales agents transmitted these orders to Swift’s headquarters on a daily basis where they were broken down into purchasing requirements for cattle at stockyards, and requirements for the cuts and grades needed to fill the orders of retail butchers. In essence, the order pulled the material through the cycle. The telegraph provided the essential communications links in this direct pull system. In the case of Dell, orders from customers, both businesses and individuals, also pull the components and finished PCs through the cycle of procurement,

production, and final marketing. Customer orders are routed to Dell's headquarters and to various assembly sites. Orders are next transformed into material requirements and sent to supply logistics centers or local supplier factories. Components are then pulled from these facilities and delivered to Dell's assembly site where the components are assembled into finished machines. In the case of Dell, the Internet provides the essential communications links in its direct pull system.

While the two systems share a number of essential features, Dell's direct pull system differs in two ways from the direct pull system of Swift.

Firstly, in Dell's system, final customers are linked directly into the pull mechanism through Internet communication. In the case of Swift, the telegraph was used internally within the firm. Although documentation is limited, the evidence suggests that retail butchers were not linked directly to Swift through telegraphic communication. Orders came to branch houses through face-to-face sales calls with retail butchers in the city of the branch and the towns and villages in the vicinity (Federal Trade Commission, 1919: Pt. 3 p. 127; Unfer, 1951: 86). Consequently, the direct pull system of Dell represented an advance over Swift in that Dell's customers, through Internet communication, could be linked directly to procurement and assembly. Nevertheless, the direct pull system in both cases operated on the basis of new communications technologies that enabled the two companies to use real time information in the form of orders from customers as a substitute for the risk of forecasting market demand. In this sense, the business models of both firms were based on the principle of *build-to-order*.

Secondly, the build-to-order systems of Swift and Dell differed with respect to the issue of *customization*. Dell's build-to-order system was essentially a system of *mass customization* in which the firm used the Internet to build individually configured products in high volume. Nevertheless, customization was not entirely absent from the pull system organized by Swift and it would be wrong to characterize the Swift system as simply a distribution system for an undifferentiated commodity. Myriad different grades of beef from different varieties of cattle, along with variations in cuts, created a range of product choices for retail butchers. Swift organized its procurement and disassembly activities on the basis of these orders, and in fulfilling them, created a type of customized system of production and distribution. One of the enduring contributions of the dressed beef industry pioneered by Swift, was

precisely the development of variety and choice in beef available at retail butchers. As a result of telegraphic messaging Swift and others in the dressed beef industry were able to deliver these products to branch houses, sometimes ready for delivery to retail butchers, other times requiring some additional butchering at the branch house site as per order. At the same time, however, while there were elements of customization in Swift's system owing to the telegraph, it would also be wrong to characterize Swift's production and distribution network as a custom system in the way that Dell's system operated.

Customization in the form of individual choice lies at the core of the Dell system. The PC maker is able to fulfill this objective of mass customization, however, as a result of the modular and standardized nature of PC components. These standardized and modular components enable Dell to sell PCs to order "by assembling them like Legos" (Langlois, 2001: 26). Swift's production and distribution network was not organized around individually customized production in this way. Nevertheless, Swift's build-to-order system does admit to elements of a primitive type of customization made possible by telegraph technology. As orders collected from retail butchers at branch houses were telegraphed to Swift's headquarters and then broken down into cattle purchasing requirements, and as the various sides came off the disassembly line at disassembly facilities destined for certain branch house locations as per order, Swift to some extent was customizing its production in real time. Like Dell, the firm took advantage of the standardized nature of dressed cattle sides, and shipped these dressed sides to branch houses where they were custom butchered into cuts ordered by retail butchers. In effect, Dell has perfected a system of just-in-time mass customization, a system with antecedents in the procurement, production, and distribution network of Swift.

As they developed these just-in-time, direct-pull networks, both companies essentially solved a similar problem in an effort to capture greater increments of value from beef and computer production and distribution. Swift and Dell learned how to eliminate traditional wholesalers in the value chains of production and distribution for beef and personal computers. Their networks of production and distribution essentially disintermediated certain actors from the beef and personal computer value chains. This process of disintermediation was forged in both cases on the foundations of the rail and telegraph, and the Internet revolutions.

Swift and Dell also confronted a similar operational objective in organizing these pull systems: how to balance supply and demand flows between the different nodes in their networks in real time. Both companies used new communications technology to accomplish this aim. Swift relied on constant telegraphic messaging between branch houses, central headquarters in Chicago, stockyard purchasing offices, and disassembly sites to balance order demand from retail butchers processed at branch houses, with purchases of cattle supplies and schedules for slaughtering, butchering, and shipping. Dell utilizes a similar system in linking nodes in its network through communications technology. Dell's system of demand and supply balancing, however, relies on Internet messaging between company headquarters, assembly sites, supply logistics centers and supplier factories. At the same time, Dell's system, incorporates an additional node in this chain of Internet communications that differentiates it from Swift. In Dell's network, the *customer* is actually connected through new communications technology to the system of material balancing in the process of procurement, assembly, and distribution. With Dell, it is the Internet that provides this connection.

Organization

In creating their innovative networks, both Swift and Dell essentially built *organizations* for producing and distributing goods. In certain ways, the organizational forms of business enterprise pioneered by Swift and Dell provide the source of the most obvious differences the two firms. Yet, even in the case of organization, the communications revolutions that differentiate the two firms also create compelling parallels.

In the case of Swift, the firm built a highly integrated enterprise. In the process of integration, the Company assumed ownership and control over most of the adjacent steps in the beef value chain. Integration provided the firm with a response to risk. The rail and telegraph enabled Swift to operate its time sensitive network of supply and demand balancing in the way that the infrastructure bridged distance between the various nodes. Yet, this time sensitive network made the firm highly vulnerable if any of the steps connecting the various nodes were in any way disrupted. In effect, Swift was heavily exposed to risk if any of the adjacent steps in the network and the processes connecting them broke down.

Disruptions in cattle supplies, production, telegraphic messaging, rail transit, even supplies of ice for refrigeration compelled the firm to mitigate its risk by assuming ownership over a vast collection of different activities. The firm became as much a rail car builder and ice harvester as a cattle disassembler and dressed beef distributor. It integrated into its own organization virtually all of the activities, primary and ancillary, of beef production and distribution short of grazing cattle. Swift built its capabilities and business model on the foundations of internal economies of scale. In organizing these activities, Swift utilized systems of administrative coordination that replaced activities formerly coordinated through markets between different small businesses. Alfred Chandler referred to these mechanisms of administrative coordination within the firm as *The Visible Hand* and contrasted them with the invisible hand of the market popularized in Adam Smith's classic work. In forging these methods of administrative control, Swift built an organization virtually from scratch without precedent.

Dell by contrast, created a much different type of organization. Dell built its network on the basis of far more limited set of core competencies, namely the assembly process and the logistics of procurement, production, and distribution. Perhaps most importantly, however, Dell unlike Swift, relies fundamentally on the external capabilities of other firms. Without the technological expertise of firms outside the boundaries of Dell, that supply the PC maker with virtually all of the components for PC production, Dell would not be in business. Unlike Swift, Dell did not pioneer this form of organization. The disintegrated firm in the personal computer industry was already a well-established phenomenon when Dell entered the industry. What Dell did that was fundamentally, new, however, was to use the Internet in building what it called a virtually-integrated enterprise. This form of organization provided Dell with certain benefits associated with vertical integration. It enabled Dell to align the production and distribution of its product around the needs of the customer, and provided the firm with the capacity to coordinate the operations necessary to fulfill those needs. The virtually-integrated enterprise of Dell, however, differed from Swift in a very fundamental way. Unlike Swift, Dell did not aim to take ownership of the assets required for carrying out the tasks at adjacent steps of the PC value chain. There was not need for Dell to seek such an objective. There was sufficient coordination capability between different asset-owning firms already developed within the PC value chain that made ownership of assets

unnecessary. What Dell did was deploy the Internet within this dis-integrated value chain in a new and creative way in making this value chain more efficient. As a consequence, where Swift became an asset-owning business enterprise, Dell has become an organization fundamentally asset averse.

Yet, in spite of these differences between the integrated structure of Swift and the dis-integrated structure of Dell, both firms employ a fundamentally similar principle in organizing the movement of supplies and finished products through their networks. The two companies rely on the organizing principle of administrative *control* rather than market coordination to ensure that materials and finished goods move within their networks from procurement through production to final marketing. Although Dell is a separate organizational entity from the other firms comprising its network, it does not interact with these companies on the basis of markets and the price system in securing supplies and logistics services. On the contrary, Dell *organizes* the relationships of collaboration between itself and its network partners, by essentially imposing upon these firms its own protocols -- both technical and administrative - as a condition for entry into its network. Such use of force does not mean that only Dell profits from such relationships. Both Dell and its partners clearly benefit from this system of administrative control, but the idea that interfirm networks such as Dell's reveal the flexibility and ascendancy of market coordination in the current economy is inaccurate. The need for Dell to exercise such control stems from the fact that the PC maker, in coordinating its high-speed, build-to-order network, confronts the same types of risk from disruptions at adjacent steps along the value chain, as the risks faced by Swift. Just as Swift remedied such risks by taking control of virtually the entire value chain through ownership of assets, so too has Dell employed a mechanism for taking control of the value chain but without having to assume ownership of the assets at these adjacent steps. Power and control are as much a part of the story at Dell as they were at Swift. In the logistics-oriented organizations built by Swift and Dell, Chandler's *Visible Hand* has proven to be a more valuable asset than Smith's Hidden Hand.

Territory

Forms of business organization are inherently territorial (Walker, 1988: 385). Business organizations assume territorial characteristics most fundamentally in two interrelated ways. Firstly, firms are territorial in the way they route flows of materials and information between nodes in their networks for producing and selling. Secondly, firms are territorial in the choices they make for locating these nodes. Together, nodes and flows between nodes create geographical space.

The networks built by Swift and Dell from these organizations reveal a similar geographical tendency. Both networks employ technology from communications revolutions to route flows of materials and information over long distances in establishing systems of long-distance control for accumulating profit. At the same time, both networks concentrate flows of material and information in specific places where Swift and Dell organize relationships of proximity between these flows and key network nodes. In effect, Swift and Dell share a similar role as agents in shaping geographies of spread and concentration, and configuring territory for competing and profit-making.

Swift used the railroad and the telegraph to build a production and distribution network extending over the territory of the U.S. that obliterated the localized character of beef slaughter and consumption while it eliminated the practice of shipping live cattle long distances. For the first time in history, cattle was being slaughtered in locations far removed from where it was being consumed as fresh beef. At the same time, Swift, and the firms that it influenced, consolidated slaughtering activity in Chicago and locales in the cornbelt states in the vicinity of Illinois. In the process, Swift and other large packing firms created industrial districts of slaughtering and meat packing in Chicago and other Midwestern stockyard towns. The geographical pattern of this new and innovative way of producing and selling beef -- the configuration of the production and distribution flows within the network of Swift -- was one of a vast expansion outward represented by distribution activity through branch houses, and a powerful centrifugal movement inward toward the center of the country for slaughtering.

Swift assumed the role as agent in creating this pattern. It was Swift that determined the location of branch houses and disassembly facilities. At the same time, Swift organized crucial relationships of geographical proximity between certain key network nodes. Swift established disassembly facilities at

stockyards in which it invested in order to exercise some control over cattle supplies. Branch houses, in turn, were located in virtually all urban centers such that the map of Swift's branch house network, and the map of urban America in 1900 was roughly the same. Both slaughtering facilities and branch houses in turn, were systematically connected to rail and telegraph lines. This geography, with its pattern of spread and concentration, centralization and decentralization, was an integral element of Swift's innovative business model. Perhaps most importantly, this geography of spread and concentration embedded in the production and distribution network of Swift, provided the foundations for a national market in the U.S.

Dell is using the communications revolution of the Internet to build a production and distribution network with this same basic attribute of geographical spread and concentration, but the scale of operation is vastly different in comparison to the scale of Swift. In contrast to the nationally-oriented focus of Swift's beef network, Dell's Internet-driven production and distribution network is establishing new standards for organizing logistics activity on a *global* scale. In creating this network, the firm is playing an integral role in defining the actual economic meaning of contemporary globalization.

What Dell has established through its Internet-driven innovations in global supply planning and demand fulfillment, is a set of fundamentally similar, build-to-order, production ensembles in different parts of the world. In creating these functionally similar complexes, however, Dell, in contrast to Swift, has not so much provided the source for creation of industrial districts in its locations of concentration. Instead, the PC maker has relied on already-existing concentrations of high technology activity. In these places, suppliers and skill bases were readily available to the PC maker.

Perhaps more importantly, in setting up these Internet-based production complexes, Dell has arranged key nodes in its network in relationships of spatial proximity in order to fulfill the highly compressed time schedules in its build-to-order system. Suppliers are forced by Dell either to have a factory presence in each Dell's six global assembly locations, or they must warehouse components in supply logistics centers (SLCs) near Dell's assembly sites. Such proximity is essential so that the PC maker can "pull" parts from these factories or warehouses at two-hour intervals in accordance with its build schedules. Just as proximity was crucial to Swift in organizing the logistics of supply procurement

and cattle disassembly, so too is proximity critical to Dell in coordinating the logistics of procurement and PC assembly. Far from dispatching with barriers of distance and defying constraints of geography, the Internet in Dell's production and distribution network has actually heightened the need for Dell to shape relationships of geographical proximity between certain nodes in its network. By enabling Dell to create procurement and assembly schedules in real time, the Internet has actually enforced new conditions of space in the spaces of globalization.

Final Propositions

Fernand Braudel, the celebrated historian of the *Annales* school, writes of three kinds of history: a "history of the world as it is being made;" a history of "conjunctures" or sharp breaks; and a history of "structures" inquiring into long term changes termed by Braudel, the *longue duree* (Braudel, 1980: 74).³ Braudel equates much of the first type to *social sciences*, while attributing the study of conjunctures and structures more to history proper. Rarely, claims Braudel, are the three types of history integrated together. In many ways, however, the comparison of Swift and Dell in this study has aimed to combine these three historical timeframes. In Dell, there is history still in the making, while in the comparison with Swift, there is both the notion of a demarcation and, with a full century separating the periods and the protagonists, there is the possibility of viewing the stories of these two firms from a long-term perspective. From the insights of these three levels of history, the comparable worlds of innovation created by Swift and Dell provide the foundations for an advance, albeit cautiously and tentatively, toward a set of propositions about the nature of the current period, the broad meaning of entire period in questions.

Firstly, the parallel worlds of innovation created by Swift and Dell are not accidental but instead derive fundamentally from a long-term historical trend that began in the nineteenth century with a sharp break from the past. This break is represented by the advent of the railroad and the telegraph,

³ As one of the foremost figures of the *Annales*, Braudel was himself partial to the long-term movements of the past. He reserved some disdain for much of what passed for social sciences, which he insisted "seem little tempted by remembrance of things past." Nevertheless, Braudel spoke admiringly of historically-oriented social scientists such as Claude Levi-Strauss, along with historians of conjuncture such as Ernst Labrousse (Braudel, 1980: 35, 25-82).

technologies truly without precedent (Drucker, 1999; Carey, 1988). Until that moment, there had not been a significant advance in the speed with which goods and information could travel overland or on the high seas since ancient times. Ships, and humans on horses constituted the essential means of bridging distance in exchanging information, and transporting goods.

Rails and telegraphy completely transformed this paradigm. In the process, these technologies recast the relationships of distance and time for economic actors in exchanging goods and information. Furthermore, these technologies established foundations for human society to pose and resolve other transport and communications challenges. Following rapidly from the telegraph was voice telephony. After the telephone became widespread, it was not long before another major breakthrough occurred in the form of wireless radio broadcasting. Images came next through television and then hybrids of symbols, voice, images and wireless in form of computer networking and the Internet. On the transport side, the route from the railroad is equally compelling leading to the automobile, air travel and even the container ship.

What is striking is that from a long-term perspective -- from Braudel's *longue duree* -- these technologies are clustered within a relatively short historical time frame. Collectively, they demarcate a period in which, taken as a whole, there is both conjuncture, that is, a break from what preceded it, and a unified structure. Seen in this way, the entire period from the mid-nineteenth century to present day is arguably a single communications revolution. This revolution began with the railroad and the telegraph and is continuing to transform economy and society through the Internet. For business firms, the underlying theme of this communications revolution is one of *control*. The communications revolution is actually a "control revolution" in which business firms achieve new capabilities to control their operations (Beniger, 1986; Yates; 1989; Mulgan, 1991). Communications, capabilities, and control enable business firms to change how they conceive of profit-making, and how they act in pursuit of it. Within this historical space, the parallels of Swift and Dell are not accidental. They are the manifestations of an ongoing communications revolution in which business firms use new communications systems to master methods of control over long distances (Law, 1986). This revolution is not over. Swift and Dell represent different moments in this revolution which is likely to continue for many years to come.

REFERENCES

Books and Scholarly Articles

Abbate, Janet (1999). *Inventing the Internet*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Abbott, Carl and Adler, Sy (1989). "Historical Analysis as a Planning Tool." *Journal of the American Planning Association*. Vol. 55(4): 467-73.

Adhikari, Richard (1998). "The ERP-to-ERP Connection." *Information Week*. October 19, 1998: 12SS-18SS.

Adler, Paul S. (1998). Market, Hierarchy, and Trust: The Knowledge Economy and the Future of Capitalism. Paper presented at U.C. Berkeley Foun on Knowledge and the Firm.

Aduddel, Robert and Cain, Louis (1973). Location and Collusion in the Meat Packing Industry. *Business Enterprise and Economic Change: Essays in Honor of Harold F. Williamson*. Louis P. Cain and Paul J. Uselding, eds. Kent: Kent State University Press.

Aduddel, Robert M. and Cain, Louis P. (1981). "Public Policy Toward the 'Greatest Trust in the World.'" *Business History Review*. Vol. 55: 217-42.

Alba, Richard D. (1982). "Taking Stock of Network Analysis: A Decade's Results." *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*. Vol. 1: 39-74.

Albers, Toni Lynn (2000). *Avoiding the Perils of Cyclic Growth in Dell's Direct Environment*. Unpublished Masters Thesis. MIT Sloan School of Management and Department of Mechanical Engineering

Albion, R.G. (1932). "The Communication Revolution." *American Historical Review*. Volume 37(4): 718-720.

Amsden, Alice (1989). *Asia's Next Giant: South Korea and Late Industrialization*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Andersen, Esben Sloth (1994). *Evolutionary Economics: Post-Schumpeterian Contributions*. London: Pinter.

Anderson, David and Lee, Hau (1998). Synchronized Supply Chains: The New Frontier. <http://www.ascet.com/ascet/wp/wpAnderson.html>.

Angel, David P. and Engstrom, James (1995). "Manufacturing Systems and Technological Change: The U.S. Personal Computer Industry." *Economic Geography*. Volume 17(1): 79-102.

Arnould, Richard J. (1971). "Changing Patterns of Concentration in American Meat Packing, 1880-1963." *Business History Review*. Vol. 45(1): 18-34.

Arrow, Kenneth J. (1962). "The Economic Implications of Learning by Doing." *Review of Economic Studies*. Vol. XXIX: 155-173

Arthur, W. Brian (1988). "Urban Systems and Historical Path Dependence." *Cities and Their Vital Systems*. Jesse H. Ausubel and Robert Herman, eds. Washington: National Academy Press, 85-97.

Bae, Young-Ja (1998). *Globalization, Institutions and Industry Development: A Case Study of the Personal Computer Industry in Korea and Taiwan*. Doctoral Dissertation. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina.

Bar, Francois (2001). "The Construction of Marketplace Architecture." *Tracking a Transformation: E-Commerce and the Terms of Competition in Industries*. BRIE – IGCC E-economy Project. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

Bar, Francois et al. (1999). *Defending the Internet Revolution in the Broadband Era: When Doing Nothing is Doing Harm*. Working Paper 137. Berkeley: Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy.

Bar, Francois, Borrus, Michael, and Steinberg, Richard (1995). *Islands in the Bit-Stream: Charting the NII Interoperability Debate*. Working Paper # 79. Berkeley: Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy

Bar, Francois and Murase, Emily M. (1998). *Electronic Trading Across Boundaries: Creating International Policies for the Emerging Network Marketplace*. Presented at the International Communication Association, 48th Annual Conference (Jerusalem).

Bell, Daniel (1979). "Communications Technology: For Better or for Worse." *Harvard Business Review*. Vol 57(3): 20-42.

Beniger, James R. (1986). *The Control Revolution: Technological and Economic Origins of the Information Society*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Berk, Gerald (1994). *Alternative Tracks: The Constitution of American Industrial Order, 1865-1917*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Berndt, Ernst, and Griliches, Zvi (1993). *Price Indexes for Microcomputers: An Exploratory Study. Price Measurements and Their Uses*. Marilyn Manser and Allan Young, eds. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, pp. 62-93).

Bijker Wiebe E., Hughes, Thomas P., and Pinch, Trevor, eds. (1989). *The Social Construction of Technological Systems*. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 17-50.

Boulton, Richard E.S., Libert, Barry D., and Samek, Steve M. (2000). *Cracking the Value Code: How Successful Businesses are Creating Wealth in the New Economy*. New York: Harper Collins.

Borrus, Michael and Zysman, John (1997). "Globalization With Borders: The Rise of Wintelism as the Future of Global Competition." *Industry and Innovation*. Vol. 4(2): 141-166.

Borrus, Michael, and Ernst, Dieter, and Haggard, Stephen, eds. (2000). *International Production Networks in Asia*. London: Routledge.

Braudel, Fernand (1977). *Afterthoughts on Material Civilization and Capitalism*, translated by Patricia Ranum. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Braudel, Fernand (1979). *The Wheels of Commerce: Civilization and Capitalism 15th-18th Century*. Volume II. Translated by Sian Reynolds. New York: Harper & Row, 1984.

Braudel, Fernand (1980). *On History*. Translated by Sarah Matthews. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Brenner, Robert (1998). "The Economics of Global Turbulence." *New Left Review*. No. 229 (May-June).

Brody, David (1964). *The Butcher Workmen: A Study of Unionization*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Callon, Scott (1995). *Different Paths: The Rise of Taiwan and Singapore in the Global Personal Computer Industry*. Stanford University Asia/Pacific Research Center Working Paper # 105.

Carbone, James (1997). "Build-to-Order Model Changes PC Industry." *Purchasing*. Vol. 123 (6): 68.

Carey, James W. (1988). *Technology as Ideology: The Case of the Telegraph. Communications as Culture*. Boston: Unwin Hyman.

- Castells, Manuel** (1996). *The Rise of the Network Society*. Volume 1 of: *The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture*. London: Blackwell Publishers.
- Castells, Manuel** (1997). *The Power of Identity*. Volume 2 of: *The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture*. London: Blackwell Publishers.
- Castells, Manuel** (2000). *The Rise of the Network Society*. Second edition. London: Blackwell Publishers.
- Catephores, George** (1994). "The Imperious Austrian: Schumpeter as Bourgeois Marxist." *New Left Review*. No. 205: 3-30.
- Ceruzzi, Paul E.** (1999). *A History of Modern Computing*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Chandler, Alfred Dupont Jr.** (1962). *Strategy and Structure: Chapters in the History of the Industrial Enterprise*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Chandler, Alfred D. Jr.** (1965). *The Railroads: The Nation's First Big Business*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc.
- Chandler, Alfred D. Jr.** (1977). *The Visible Hand: The Managerial Revolution in American Business*. Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Chandler, Alfred D. Jr.** (1980). The United States: Seedbed of Managerial Capitalism. *Managerial Hierarchies: Comparative Perspectives on the Rise of the Modern Industrial Corporation*. Alfred D. Chandler and Herman Daems, eds. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Chandler, Alfred D. Jr.** (1988). *The Essential Alfred Chandler: Essays Toward a Historical Theory of Big Business*. Edited by Thomas K. McCraw. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Chandler, Alfred D. Jr.** (1992). "Corporate Strategy, Structure and Control Methods in the United States During the 20th Century." *Industrial and Corporate Change*. Vol. I (2): 263-284.
- Chandler, Alfred D. Jr. and Cortada, James W.** (2000). *A Nation Transformed by Information: How Information Has Shaped the United States from Colonial Times to the Present*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chopra, Sunil and Van Mieghem, Jan A.** (2000). "Which E-Business is Right for Your Supply Chain." *Supply Chain Management Review*.
- Chposky, James and Leonis, Ted** (1988). *Blue Magic: The People, Power and Politics Behind the IBM Personal Computer*. New York: Facts on File Publications.
- Christaller, Walter** (1933). *Central Places in Southern German*. Translated by Carlisle W. Baskin. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1966.
- Christensen, Clayton M.** (1997). *The Innovator's Dilemma: When New Technologies Cause Great Firms to Fail*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Christopherson, Susan** (1993). "Market Rules and Territorial Outcomes: The Case of the United States." *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. Vol. 17 (3): 274-288.
- Christopherson, Susan** (1999). Rules as Resources: How Market Governance Regimes Influence Firm Networks. *The New Industrial Geography: Regions, Regulation and Institutions*. Trevor J. Barnes and Meric Gertler, eds. Routledge: London, 155-175.
- Clemen, Rudolf Alexander** (1923). *The American Livestock and Meat Industry*. New York: Ronald Press.
- Clemen, Rudolf A.** (1928). The American Meat-Packing Industry. *Representative Industries in the United States*. H.T. Warhow, ed. New York: Henry Hold and Company.

- Coase, R. H.** (1937). "The Nature of the Firm." *Economica*. Vol. 4 (16): 386-405.
- Coase, R. H.** (1991). The Nature of the Firm: Meaning. *The Nature of the Firm: Origins, Evolution and Development*. Oliver Williamson and Sidney Winter, eds. New York / Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cockburn, Alexander** (1996). "A Short Meat-Oriented History of the World: From Eden to the Mattole." *New Left Review*. (No. 215): 16-42.
- Cohen, Stephen S. and Borrus, Michael G.** (1997). Networks of Companies in Asia. Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy.
- Cohen, Stephen S., DeLong, J. Bradford, and Zysman, John** (2000). Tools For Thought: What is New and Important about the "E-conomy." Berkeley: Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy.
- Cole, Arthur H.** (1949). "Entrepreneurship and Entrepreneurial History." *Change and the Entrepreneur*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 85-107.
- Commons, John R.** (1904). "Labor Conditions in Meat Packing and the Recent Strike." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. Vol. XIX (1):1-32
- Cronon, William** (1991). *Nature's Metropolis: Chicago and the Great West*. New York: Norton.
- David, Paul A.** (1985). "Clio and the Economics of QWERTY." *American Economic Review, Proceedings*. Vol. 75: 332-337.
- David, Paul A.** (1987). Some New Standards for the Economics of Standardization in the Information Age. *The Economic Theory of Technology Policy*. Partha Dasgupta and P.L. Stoneman, eds. (London: Cambridge University Press.
- David, P.A.** (1991). Computer and Dynamo: The Modern Productivity Paradox in a Not-too-distant Mirror. *Technology and Productivity: The Challenge for Economic Policy*. Paris: OECD, pp. 315-347.
- David, Paul A. and Bunn, Julie Ann** (1987). The 'Battle of the Systems' and the Evolutionary Dynamics of Network Technology Rivalries. Stanford University Center for Economic Policy Research Working Paper No. 15.
- David, Paul A. and Greenstein, Shane** ((1990). "The Economics of Compatibility Standards: An Introduction to Recent Research." *Economic Innovation and New Technology*. Vol. 1(1): 3-41).
- Dell, Michael and Fredman, Catherine** (1999). *Direct From Dell: Strategies that Revolutionized an Industry*. New York: Harper Collins.
- De Long, J. Bradford** (1998). How 'New' is Today's Economy.
- Destler, Chester McArthur (1946)**. "Entrepreneurial Leadership Among the 'Robber Barons': A Trial Balance." *The Tasks of Economic History*. Volume VI (Supplement): 28-49.
- De Vries, Jan** (1976). *The Economy of Europe in an Age of Crisis, 1600-1750*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dodge, Martin** (2001). Finding the Source of Amazon.com: Examining the Store with 'Earth's Biggest Selection.' *Worlds of E-commerce: Economic, Geographical and Social Dimensions*. Thomas R. Leinbach and Stanley D. Brunn, eds. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dosi, Giovanni** (1982). "Technological Paradigms and Technological Trajectories: A Suggested Interpretation of the Determinants and Directions of Technical Change." *Research Policy*. Vol. 11: 147-162
- Dosi, Giovanni** (1984). *Technical Change and Industrial Transformation*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Dosi, Giovanni** (1997). "Opportunities, Incentives and the Collective Patterns of Technological Change." *The*

Economic Journal. Volume 107: 1530-1547.

Dosi, Giovanni, Freeman, Christopher, Nelson, Richard, Silverberg, Gerald and Soete, Luc, eds. (1988). *Technical Change and Economic Theory*. London: Pinter.

Dosi, Giovanni, Giannetti, Renato and Toninelli, Pier Angelo, eds. (1992). *Technology and Enterprise in Historical Perspective*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Dosi, Giovanni, Teece, David J. and Winter, Sidney (1992). Toward a Theory of Corporate Coherence: Preliminary Remarks. *Technology and Enterprise in Historical Perspective*. Giovanni Dosi, Renato Giannetti, and Pier Angelo Toninelli, eds. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Dosi, Giovanni, Teece, David J. and Chytry, Josef, eds. (1998). *Technology, Organization and Competitiveness: Perspectives on Industrial and Corporate Change*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Drucker, Peter F. (1999). "Beyond the Information Revolution." *Atlantic Monthly*. (October): 47-57.

DuBoff, Richard B. (1980). "Business Demand and the Development of the Telegraph in the United States, 1844-1860." *Business History Review*. Vol. LIV(4): 459-479.

DuBoff, Richard B. (1983). "The Telegraph and the Structure of Markets and Firms." *Research in Economic History*. Vol. 8: 253-277.

DuBoff, Richard B. (1984). "The Telegraph in Nineteenth-Century America: Technology and Monopoly." *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. Volume 26: 571-586.

DuBoff, Richard B. and Herman, Edward S. (1980). "Alfred Chandler's New Business History: A Review." *Politics and Society*. Volume 10 (1): 87-112.

Durkheim, Emile (1893). *The Division of Labor in Society*. Translated by W.D. Halls. New York: The Free Press, 1984.

Eatwell, John, Milgate, Murray, and Newman, Peter eds. (1990). *Problems of the Planned Economy*. New York: W.W. Norton Company.

Eisenstein, Elizabeth L. (1979). *The Printing Press As an Agent of Change: Communications and Cultural Transformations in Early-modern Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

eMarketer, (2001). *The e-Commerce B2B Report*. New York.

Ferguson, Charles H. and Morris, Charles R. (1994). *Computer Wars: The Fall of IBM and the Future of Global Technology*. New York: Times Books.

Field, Alexander James (1992). "The Magnetic Telegraph, Price and Quantity Data and the New Management of Capital." *Journal of Economic History*. Volume 52 (2): 401-413.

Fisher, Claude S. (1992). *America Calling: A Social History of the Telephone to 1940*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Fishlow, Albert (1965). *American Railroads and the Transformation of the Ante-Bellum Economy*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Fligstein, Neil (1990). *The Transformation of Corporate Control*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Fogel, Robert William (1964). *Railroads and American Economic Growth: Essays in Econometric History*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press.

Freeman, Christopher (1982). *Economics of Innovation*. London: Pinter Publishers.

Freeman, Christopher (1990). Schumpeter's Business Cycles Revisited. *Evolving Technology and Market Structure: Studies in Schumpeterian Economics*. Arnold Heertje and Mark Perlman, eds. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, pp. 17-38.

Freeman, C. (1991). The Nature of Innovation and the Evolution of the Productive System. *Technology and Productivity: The Challenge for Economic Policy*. Paris: OECD, pp. 303-314.

Freeman, Christopher (1994). "The Economics of Technical Change." *Cambridge Journal of Economics*. Vol. 18: 463-514.

Friedlander, Amy (1995). *Emerging Infrastructure: The Growth of Railroads*. Reston, VA: Corporation for National Research Initiatives.

Gerlach, Michael (1989). Keiretsu Organization in the Japanese Economy: Analysis and Trade Implications. *Politics and Productivity*. Chalmers Johnson, Laura D'Andrea Tyson and John Zysman, eds. New York: Ballinger Publishing.

Gertler, Meric S. (1995). "‘Being There’: Proximity, Organization and Culture in the Development and Adoption of Advanced Manufacturing Technologies." *Economic Geography*. Vol 71: 1-26.

Gordon, David (1984). "Swift & Co. v. United States: The Beef Trust and the Stream of Commerce Doctrine." *American Journal of Legal History*. Vol 28: July 244-79.

Griffiths, Richard T. (2001). History of the Internet, Internet for Historians (and just about everyone else). University of Leiden. http://www.let.leidenuniv.nl/history/ivh/frame_theorie.html

Hall, Peter and Preston, Paschal (1988). *The Carrier Wave: New Information Technology and the Geography of Innovation 1846-2003*. London: Unwin Hyman.

Hafner, Katie and Lyon, Matthew (1996). *Where Wizards Stay Up Late: The Origins of the Internet*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Hamilton, Gary G, and Feenstra, Robert C. (1998). Varieties of Hierarchies and Markets: An Introduction. *Technology, Organization and Competitiveness*. Giovanni Dosi, David J. Teece and Josef Chytry, eds. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Harpers Weekly (October 21, 1882). "Cheaper Beef." P. 663

Harvey, David (1996). *Justice, Nature and the Geography of Difference*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Harrison, Bennett (1994). *Lean and Mean: The Changing Landscape of Corporate Power in the Age of Flexibility*. New York: Basic Books.

Hayes, Simon and Connolly, Byron (1998). "Compaq Takes on direct Business in Response to Corporate Demand. *Computer Reseller News*. <http://www.itnews.com.au/crn/news/007-la.htm>

Henderson, Rebecca M. and Clark, Kim B. (1990). "Architectural Innovation: The Reconfiguration of Product Technologies and the Failure of Established Firms." *Administrative Science Quarterly*. Vol. 35: 9-30.

Hill, Howard Copeland (1925). "The Development of Chicago as a Center of the Meat Packing Industry." *Mississippi Valley Historical Review*. Vol. 10 (3): 253-273.

Hirschman, Albert O. (1958). *The Strategy of Economic Development*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Hodgson, Geoffrey M. (1994). *Precursors of Modern Evolutionary Economics: Marx, Marshall, Veblen, and*

- Schumpeter. *Evolutionary Concepts in Contemporary Economics*. Richard W. England, ed. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Hodgson, Geoffrey M.** (1998). *The Foundations of Evolutionary Economics*. Volume I. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Hohenberg, Paul M. and Lees, Lynn Hollen** (1995). *The Making of Urban Europe 1000-1994*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Horowitz, Roger** (1997). "Negro and White, Unite and Fight!" *A Social History of Industrial Unionism in Meatpacking, 1930-90*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Hounshell, David A.** (1984). *From the American System to Mass Production 1800-1932: The Development of Manufacturing Technology in the United States*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press.
- Hounshell, David A.** (1995). "Hughesian History of Technology and Chandlerian Business History: Parallels, Departures, and Critics." *History and Technology*. Vol. 12: 205-224.
- Hughes, Thomas P.** (1983). *Networks of Power: Electrification in Western Society, 1880-1930*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Hunt, Courtney Shelton and Aldrich, Howard E.** (1998). "The Second Ecology: Creation and Evolution of Organizational Communities." *Research in Organizational Behavior*. Vol. 20: 267-301.
- Innis, Harold Adams.** (1950). *Empire and Communications*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Innis, Harold Adams.** (1951). *The Bias of Communication*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Irwin, Michael D. and Kasarda, John D.** (1994). Trade, Transportation and Spatial Distribution. *The Handbook of Economic Sociology*. Neil J. Smelser and Richard Swedberg, eds. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- John, Richard R.** (1994). American Historians and the Concept of the Communications Revolution. *Information Acumen: The Understanding and Use of Knowledge in American Business*. Lisa Bud-Frierman, ed. Routledge: London, pp. 98-110.
- John, Richard R.** (1997). "Elaborations, Revisions, Dissents: Alfred D. Chandler, Jr.'s., The Visible Hand after Twenty Years," *Business History Review*. Vol. 71: 151-200
- John, Richard R.** (1998). "The Politics of Innovation." *Daedalus*. Vol, 127(4): 187-213.
- John, Richard R.** (2001). "Rendezvous with Information? Computers and Communications Networks in the United States." *Business History Review*. Vol. 75 (1): 1-13.
- Jones, Alexander** (1852). *Historical Sketch of the Electric Telegraph: Rise and Progress in the United States*. New York: George P. Putnam.
- Jue, Jaime** (1998). Price Convergence and Interregional Arbitrage Opportunities in the Postbellum United States: Evidence from the U.S. Department of War. Unpublished Paper. UC Berkeley, Department of Economics.
- Kahaner, D.K.** (1996). Technology and Industry in Penang Malaysia. Asian Technolgy Information Program (ATIP). www.cs.arizona.edu/japan/atip/public/atip.reports.96/atip96.107r.html
- Kenney, Martin** (2001). The Growth and Development of the Internet in the United States. Working Paper # 145. Berkeley: Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy.
- Kenney, Martin and Curry, James** (1998). The Internet, New Firm Formation, and Enterprise Patterns. Paper prepared for the International Workshop on Business Venture Creation and New Human Resource Management Strategies (Tokyo) (October 1-2).

Kenney, Martin and Curry, James (1999). "Beating the Clock: Corporate Responses to Rapid Change in the PC Industry." *California Management Review*. Vol. 42 (1): 8-36.

Kenney, Martin and Curry, James (2000a). We All Want to be Like Mike: The Internet and the Personal Computer Value Chain.

Kenney, Martin and Curry, James (2000b). The Organization of the Personal Computer Value Chain. A Proposal to the Alfred Sloan Foundation.

Kenney, Martin and Curry, James (2001). Beyond Transaction Costs: E-Commerce and the Power of the Internet Dataspace. *Worlds of E-Commerce: Economic, Geographical and Social Dimensions*. Thomas R. Leinbach and Stanley D. Brunn, eds. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Kern, Stephen (1983). *The Culture of Time and Space, 1880-1918*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

King, Julia (1997). "Dell Zaps SAP." *Computerworld*. July 1997
http://www.computerworld.com/cwi/story/0,1199,NAV47_STO5285,00.html

Kogut, Bruce (1992). "National Organizing Principles of Work and the Erstwhile Dominance of the American Multinational Corporation." *Industrial and Corporate Change*. Vol. I (2): 285-325.

Kondratiev, Nikolai (1935). "The Long Waves in Economic Life." *The Review of Economics Statistics*. Vol. 17: 105-115.

Knight, F.H. (1921). *Risk, Uncertainty and Profit*. New York: Augustus Kelly.

Kraemer, Kenneth L., Dedrick, Jason, and Yamashiro, Sandra (1999). Refining and Extending the Business Model with Information Technology: Dell Computer Corporation. Irvine: University of California, Irvine, Center for Research on Information Technology and Organizations.

Kraemer, Kenneth L., Dedrick, Jason, (2001). Dell Computer: Using E-Commerce to Support the Virtual Company. Irvine: University of California, Irvine, Center for Research on Information Technology and Organizations.

Kujovich, Mary Yeager (1970). "The Refrigerator Car and the Growth of the American Dressed Beef Industry." *Business History Review*. Vol. XLIV (4): 460-482.

Kuznets, Simon (1930). *Secular Movements in Production and Prices; Their Nature and Their Bearing Upon Cyclical Fluctuations*. New York: A. M. Kelley, 1967.

Lamoreaux, Naomi R., Raff, Daniel M.G., and Temin, Peter eds, (1999). *Learning by Doing in Markets, Firms, and Countries*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Landes, David S. (1969). *The Unbound Prometheus: Technological Change and Industrial Development in Western Europe from 1750 to the Present*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Langlois, Richard N. (1990). "Creating External Capabilities: Innovation and Vertical Integration in the Microcomputer Industry." *Business and Economic History*. Vol. 19: 93-102.

Langlois, Richard N. (1992). "Transaction-cost Economics in Real Time." *Industrial and Corporate Change*. Vol. I (1): 99-127.

Langlois, Richard N. (1992). "External Economies and Economic Progress: The Case of the Microcomputer Industry." *Business History Review*. Volume 66 (1): 1-50.

Langlois, Richard N. (2001). The Vanishing Hand: The Modular Revolution in American Business. Unpublished Paper.

Langlois, Richard N. and Robertson, Paul (1992). "Networks and Innovation in a Modular System: Lessons from

the Microcomputer and Stereo Component Industries.” *Research Policy*. Vol. 21: 297-313.

Lappin, Todd (1996). “The Airline of the Internet.” *Wired*. Volume 4 (December).

Law, John (1986). On the Methods of Long-Distance Control: Vessels, Navigation and the Portuguese Route to India. *Power, Action and Belief: A New Sociology of Knowledge?* John Law, ed. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Lazonick, William (1990). Organizational Integration in Three Industrial Revolutions. *Evolving Technology and Market Structure: Studies in Schumpeterian Economics*. Arnold Heertje and Mark Perlman, eds. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 77-97.

Lazonick, William (1991). *Business Organization and the Myth of the Market Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lazonick, William (1991b). What Happened to the Theory of Economic Development? *Favorites of Fortune: Technology, Growth and Economic Development since the Industrial Revolution*. Patrice Higonnet, David S. Landes, and Henry Rosovsky, eds. Cambridge: Harvard University Press

Lazonick, William (1994). The Integration of Theory and History: Methodology and Ideology in Schumpeter's Economics. *Evolutionary and Neo-Schumpeterian Approaches to Economics*. Lars Magnusson, ed. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Lazonick, William (2002). “Innovative Enterprise and Historical Transformation.” *Enterprise & Society*. Vol. 3 (1): 3-47.

Lazonick, William and Mass William, eds. (1995). *Organizational Capabilities and Competitive Advantage*. Brookfield, VT: Elgar.

Lee, Hau L. (2000) “Creating Value Through Supply Chain Integration.” *Supply Chain Management Review* (September/October).

Lloyd, Henry Demarest (1900). *Wealth Against Commonwealth*. New York: Harper and Brothers.

Loasby, Brian J. (2001). Industrial Dynamics: Why Connections Matter. Paper for DRUID Academy Winter Conference. Copenhagen.

Lundvall, Bengt-Ake (1988). Innovation as an Interactive Process: From User-Producer Interaction to the National System of Innovation. *Technical Change and Economic Theory*. Giovanni Dosi et al., eds. London: Pinter.

Maglitta, Joseph E. (1997). “Special Dell-ivery.” *Electronic Business* (December), pp. 43-47.

Magretta, Joan (1998). The Power of Virtual Integration: An Interview with Dell Computer’s Michael Dell. *Harvard Business Review*. Vol. 76 (2): 72-84.

Malone, Thomas W., Yates, Joanne, and Benjamin, Robert I. (1994). "Electronic Markets and Electronic Hierarchies." *Information Technology and the Corporation of the 1990s*. Thomas J. Allen and Michael S. Scott Morton, eds. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 61-83.

Marglin, Stephen A. (1974). “What Do Bosses Do? The Origins and Functions of Hierarchy in Capitalist Production.” *Review of Radical Political Economy*. Vol. 6: 33-60.

Markusen, Ann Roell (1985). *Profit Cycles, Oligopoly and Regional Development*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Markusen, Ann (1994). “Studying Regions by Studying Firms.” *Professional Geographer*. Vol. 46 (4): 477-490.

Markusen, Ann (1996). “Sticky Places in Slippery Space: A Typology of Industrial Districts.” *Economic Geography*. Vol. 72: 293-313.

- Markusen, Ann R., Lee, Yong-Sook, and DiGiovanna, Sean, eds.** (1999). *Second Tier Cities: Rapid Growth Beyond the Metropolis*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Marshall, Alfred** (1890). *Principles of Economics*. Two Volumes. London: Macmillan and Company, 1961.
- Marshall, Alfred** (1919). *Industry and Trade*. London: Macmillan and Company, 1921.
- Marx, Karl** (1847). The Poverty of Philosophy. *Karl Marx: Selected Writings*. David McLellan, ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1977, pp. 195-215.
- Marx, Karl** (1848). Manifesto of the Communist Party. Marx, Karl and Engels, Frederick, *Selected Works in Three Volumes*. Volume I. Moscow: Progress Publishers, 1969, pp. 98-137.
- Marx, Karl** (1851). The Eighteenth Brumaire of Louis Bonaparte. Marx, Karl and Engels, Frederick, *Selected Works in Three Volumes*. Volume I. Moscow: Progress Publishers, 1969, pp. 398-487.
- Marx, Karl** (1857). Grundrisse. *Karl Marx: Selected Writings*. David McLellan, ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1977, pp. 343-387.
- Marx, Karl** (1859). Preface to A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy. Marx, Karl and Engels, Frederick, *Selected Works in Three Volumes*. Volume I. Moscow: Progress Publishers, 1969, pp. 502-506.
- Marx, Karl** (1867). *Capital*. Volume I. London: Lawrence & Wishart, 1974.
- Marx, Karl** (1885). *Capital* Volume II. London: Lawrence & Wishart, 1974.
- Marx, Karl** (1894). *Capital* Volume III. London: Lawrence & Wishart, 1974.
- Mayntz, Renate and Hughes, Thomas P., eds.** (1988). *The Development of Large Technical Systems*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- McChesney, Robert W., Wood, Ellen Meiksins, and Foster, John Bellamy** (1998). *Capitalism and the Information Age: The Political Economy of the Global Communication Revolution*. New York: Monthly Review Press.
- McCurdy, Charles W.** (1978). "American Law and the Marketing Structure of the Large Corporation, 1875-1890." *Journal of Economic History*. Vol. 38 (3): 631-649.
- McNeill, William H.** (2000). "A Short History of Humanity." *New York Review of Books*. Volume XLVII (11): 9-11.
- Metcalf, J. Stanley** (1998). *Evolutionary Economics and Creative Destruction*. London: Routledge.
- Minahan, Tim** (1997). "Dell Computer Sees Suppliers as Key to JIT." *Purchasing*. Vol. 123 (3): 43-48.
- Mokyr, Joel** (1990). *The Lever Of Riches: Technological Creativity and Economic Progress*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Moschella, David C.** (1997). *Waves of Power: Dynamics of Global Technology Leadership 1964-2010*. New York: AMACOM.
- Mulgan, G.J.** (1991). *Communication and Control: Networks and the New Economies of Communication*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Myrdal, Gunnar** (1957). *Economic Theory and Underdeveloped Regions*. London: Duckworth.
- Nelson, Richard R.** (1998). The Co-evolution of Technology, Industrial Structure and Supporting Institutions. *Technology, Organization and Competitiveness*. Giovanni Dosi, David J. Teece and Josef Chytrý, eds. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Nelson, Richard R. and Winter, Sidney G.** (1982). *An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change*. Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Norberg, Arthur L. and O'Neill Judy E.** (1996). *Transforming Computer Technology: Information Processing for the Pentagon, 1962-1986*. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Norris, Frank** (1901). *The Octopus*. New York: Bantam Books, 1971.
- North, Douglass C., Anderson, Terry L. and Hill, Peter J.** (1983). *Growth and Welfare in the American Past: A New Economic History*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Neyhart, Louise A** (1952). *Giant of the Yards*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Nye, David E.** (1997). "Shaping Communication Networks: Telegraph, Telephone, Computer." *Social Research*. Vol. 64 (3): 1067-1092.
- Ohlin, Bertil** (1933). *Interregional and International Trade*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1967.
- O'Sullivan, Mary** (2000). "The Innovative Enterprise and Corporate Governance." *Cambridge Journal of Economics*. Vol. 24 (4): 393-416.
- Page, Brian, and Walker, Richard** (1991). "From Settlement to Fordism: The Agro-Industrial Revolution in the American Midwest." *Economic Geography*. Volume 67(4): 281-315.
- Parrini, Carl P. and Sklar, Martin J.** (1983). "New Thinking about the Market, 1896-1904: Some American Economists on Investment and the Theory of Surplus Capital." *Journal of Economic History*. Vol. XLIII (3): 560-578.
- Pavitt, Keith** (1992). Some Foundations for a Theory of the Large Innovating Firm. *Technology and Enterprise in Historical Perspective*. Giovanni Dosi, Renato Giannetti, and Pier Angelo Toninelli, eds. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Penrose, Edith** (1959). *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm*. Third Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995.
- Perez, Carlota** (1983). "Structural Change and Assimilation of New Technologies in the Economic and Social Systems." *Futures*. 357-375.
- Perloff, Harvey S., Dunn Jr., Edgar S., Lampard, Eric E., and Muth, Richard F.** (1960). *Regions Resources, and Economic Growth*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Picot, Arnold, Bortenlanger, Christine and Rohrl, Heiner** (1997). "Organization of Electronic Markets: Contributions from the New Institutional Economics." *The Information Society*. Vol. 13: 107-123.
- Pinella, Paul** (1991). "The PC Big 50 1990." *Datamation*. December 1, 1991: 42-43.
- Pinch, Trevor J. and Bijker, Wiebe E.** (1989). The Social Construction Facts and Artifacts: Or How the Sociology of Science and the Sociology of Technology Might Benefit Each Other. *The Social Construction of Technological Systems*. Wiebe E. Bijker, Thomas P. Hughes, and Trevor Pinch, eds. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 17-50.
- Piore, Michael J. and Sabel, Charles** (1984). *The Second Industrial Divide*. New York: Basic Books.
- Poirier, Charles C. and Bauer, Michael J.** (2000). *E-Supply Chain: Using the Internet to Revolutionize Your Business*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers, Inc.
- Polanyi, Karl** (1944). *The Great Transformation*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1957.
- Porter, Glenn and Livesay, Harold** (1971). *Merchants and Manufacturers: Studies in the Changing Structure of*

Nineteenth-Century Marketing. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Porter, Michael (1985). *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: Free Press.

Porter, Michael (1990). *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. New York: Free Press.

Powell, Walter W. (1990). "Neither Market Nor Hierarchy: Network Forms of Organization." *Research in Organizational Behavior*. Vol. 12: 295-336.

Pred, Allan R. (1966). *The Spatial Dynamics of U.S. Urban-Industrial Growth, 1800-1914: Interpretive and Theoretical Essays*. Cambridge: M.I.T. Press.

Pred, Allan R. (1973). *Urban Growth and the Circulation of Information: The United States System of Cities, 1790-1840*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Pred, Allan R. (1977). *City Systems in Advanced Economies*. New York: Wiley & Sons.

Putnam, George E. (1921). "Joint Cost in the Packing Industry." *Journal of Political Economy*. Vol. 29(4): 293-303.

Putnam, Robert D. (1993). *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Pyke, Frank, Becattini, Giacomo and Sengenberger, Werner (1990). *Industrial Districts and Inter-Firm Cooperation in Italy*. Geneva: International Institute for Labour Studies.

Raff, Daniel M.G. and Temin, Peter (1991). "Business History and Recent Economic Theory: Imperfect Information, Incentives and the Internal Organization of Firms." *Inside the Business Enterprise: Historical Perspectives on the Use of Information*. Peter Temin, ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Raj, Winston (2002). "Brothers in Arms: Compaq's made-in-Asia Collaboration Project Tightens the Fragile Bonds Between its Suppliers, Distributors and Itself, and Promises High Efficiency." *CIO* (March)

Rappaport, A. and Halevi. S. (1991). "The Computerless Computer Company." *Harvard Business Review*. Vol. 69: 69-81.

Rasiah, Rajah (2000). *Regional Dynamics and Production Networks: The Development of Electronics Clusters in Malaysia*.

Reid, Robert H. (1997). *Architects of the Web: 1000 Days that Built the Future of Business*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Rhoades, E.L. (1929). *Merchandising Packinghouse Products*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Richardson, G.B. (1972). "The Organisation of Industry." *Economic Journal*. Vol. 82 (327): 883-896.

Riley, Elmer A. (1911). *The Development of Chicago and Vicinity as a Manufacturing Center Prior to 1880*. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of History. University of Chicago.

Roberti, Mark (2001). "B-to-B Startup Strikes Gold in Dell Deal." *Industry Standard*. June 12, 2001. <http://www.thestandard.com/article/0,1902,21483,00.html>

Rosenberg, Nathan (1982). *Inside the Black Box: Technology and Economics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rosenberg, Nathan (1982b). Learning by Using. *Inside the Black Box: Technology and Economics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 120-140.

- Rosenbloom, Richard S. and Christensen, Clayton M.** (1994). "Technological Discontinuities, Organizational Capabilities, and Strategic Commitments." *Industrial and Corporate Change*. Vol. 3: 655-685.
- Rosenzweig, Roy** (1998). "Wizards, Bureaucrats, Warriors, and Hackers: Writing the History of the Internet." *American Historical Review*. Vol. 103 (5): 1530-1552.
- Roszak, Theodore** (1994). *The Cult of Information: A New-Luddite Treatise on High-Tech, Artificial Intelligence, and the True Art of Thinking*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Roy, William G.** (1997). *Socializing Capital: The Rise of the Large Industrial Corporation in America*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Russell, Charles Edward** (1904). *The Greatest Trust in the World*. New York: The Ridgway-Thayer Company.
- Ruttan, Vernon W.** (1997). "Induced Innovation, Evolutionary Theory, and Path Dependence: Sources of Technical Change." *The Economic Journal*. Volume 107: 1520-1529.
- Rutherford, Malcom** (1984). "Thorsten Veblen and the Processes of Institutional Change." *History of Political Economy*. Vol. 16 (3): 331-48.
- Sabel, Charles F. and Zeitlin, Jonathan** (1985). "Historical Alternatives to Mass Production: Politics, Markets and Technology in Nineteenth-Century Industrialization." *Past and Present*. No. 108: 133-176.
- Saunders, Rebecca** (2000). *Business the Dell Way: 10 Secrets of the World's Best Computer Business*. Oxford: Capstone Books.
- Saxenian, AnnaLee** (1990). "Regional Networks and the Resurgence of Silicon Valley." *California Management Review*. Vol. 33 (1): 89-112.
- Saxenian, AnnaLee** (1991). "The Origins and Dynamics of Production Networks in Silicon Valley." *Research Policy*. Vol. 20 (5): 423-437.
- Saxenian, AnnaLee** (1994). *Regional Advantage: Culture and Competition in Silicon Valley and Route 128*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Saxenian, AnnaLee** (1999). *Silicon Valley's New Immigrant Entrepreneurs*. San Francisco: Public Policy Institute of California.
- Sayer, Andrew** (1992). *Method in Social Science*. London: Routledge.
- Schmidt, Louis Bernard** (1920). "The Internal Grain Trade of the United States 1850-1860." *Iowa Journal of History and Politics*. Vol. XVIII:94-124.
- Schmidt, Louis Bernard** (1921). "The Internal Grain Trade of the United States 1860-1890." *Iowa Journal of History and Politics*. Vol. XIX:196-245, 414-455.
- Schmidt, Louis Bernard** (1922). "The Internal Grain Trade of the United States 1860-1890." *Iowa Journal of History and Politics*. Vol. XX:70-131.
- Schmidt, Louis Bernard** (1939). "Internal Commerce and the Development of National Economy Before 1860." *Journal of Political Economy*. Vol. XLVII (6):798-822.
- Schoenberger, Erica** (1991). "The Corporate Interview as a Research Method in Economic Geography." *Professional Geographer*. Vol. 43 (2): 180-189.
- Schoenberger, Erica** (1997). *The Cultural Crisis of the Firm*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Schumpeter, Joseph A.** (1911). *The Theory of Economic Development*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1951.

- Schumpeter, Joseph A.** (1939). *Business Cycles: A Theoretical, Historical and Statistical Analysis of the Capitalist Process*. Two Volumes. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Schumpeter, Joseph A.** (1942). *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*. New York: Harper & Bros.
- Schumpeter, Joseph A.** (1947). "The Creative Response in Economic History." *Journal of Economic History*. Vol. 7 (2):149-159.
- Schumpeter, Joseph A.** (1954). *History of Economic Analysis*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Scott, A.J.** (1988). *New Industrial Spaces: Flexible Production Organization and Regional Development in North America and Western Europe*. London: Pion Ltd.
- Shapiro, Carl and Varian Hal R.** (1998). *Information Rules: A Strategic Guide to the Internet Economy*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Shapiro, Carl and Varian Hal R.** (1999). "The Art of Standards Wars." *California Management Review*. Vol. 41 (2): 8-32.
- Shaw, William Howard** (1947). *Value of Commodity Output Since 1869*. New York: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Sheridan, John H.** (2001). "Proceed With Caution: The Early Hype over B2B Trading Exchanges may have Stirred Unrealistic Management Expectations and Blurred Potential Pitfalls." *Industry Week.com*. February 12, 2001.
- Silverberg, G. and Verspagen, B.** (1994). "Learning, Innovation and Economic Growth: A Long-run Model of Industrial Dynamics." *Industrial and Corporate Change*. Volume 3: 199-223.
- Sinclair, Upton** (1906). *The Jungle*. London: Penguin, 1986.
- Skocpol, Theda and Somers, Margaret** (1980). "The Uses of Comparative History in Macrosocial Inquiry." *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. Vol. 22 (2): 174-197.
- Slaughter, Mathew J.** (1995). *The Antebellum Transportation Revolution and Factor Price Convergence*. NBER Working Paper # 5303. Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Smith, Adam** (1776). *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*. 2 Volumes. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1976.
- Southwick, Karen** (1996). "Above the Crowd: Interview with Jeff Bezos of Amazon.com." *Upside*. Vol. 8(10): 29-34.
- Standage, Tom** (1998). *The Victorian Internet: The Remarkable Story of the Telegraph and the Nineteenth Century's On-line Pioneers*. New York: Walker and Company.
- Steffens, John** (1994). *Newgames: Strategic Competition in the PC Revolution*. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Storper, Michael and Walker, Richard** (1989). *The Capitalist Imperative*. New York: Basil Blackwell.
- Storper, Michael** (1997). *The Regional World: Territorial Development in a Global Economy*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Sturgeon, Timothy J.** (1997a). *Turnkey Production Networks: A New American Model of Industrial Organization?* Working Paper 92a. Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy.
- Sturgeon, Timothy J.** (1997b). *Does Manufacturing Still Matter? The Organizational Delinking of Production from Innovation*. Working Paper 92b. Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy.

- Sturgeon, Timothy J.** (2000). How Do We Define Value Chains and Production Networks? Paper Prepared for the Bellagio Value Chains Workshop, Bellagio Italy.
- Swift, Louis F.** (1927). *The Yankee of the Yards: The Biography of Gustavus Franklin Swift*. London: A.W. Shaw.
- Taylor, Charles, H.,** (1917). *History of the Board of Trade of the City of Chicago*. Three Volumes. Chicago: Robert O. Law Company.
- Teece, David, and Pisano, Gary** (1998). The Dynamic Capabilities of Firms: an Introduction. *Technology, Organization and Competitiveness: Perspectives on Industrial and Corporate Change*. Giovanni Dose, David Teece, and Josef Chytrý, eds. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 185-211.
- Teahan, Rita** (2001). *Internet and E-Commerce Statistics: What They Mean and Where to Find Them on the Web*. Congressional Research Service Issue Brief. <http://www.cnie.org/nle/st-36.html>
- Teresko, John** (2001). "The Value of Velocity: Dell's Rules: Disdain Inventory, Always Listen to the Customer, Sell Direct, and Eliminate Unnecessary Touches." *Industry Week* (October).
- Thompson, Robert Luther** (1947). *Wiring a Continent: The History of the Telegraph Industry in the United States 1832-1866*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Tilman, Rick** (1992). *Thorstein Veblen and his Critics, 1893-1961*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Trommer, Diane** (1997). "As 'Build to Order' Fires up the PC Business, Can the Supply Chain Stand the Heat?" *Electronic Buyers' News*. December 15, 1997.
- Trommer, Diane** (1997b). "Dell Unfazed by PC OEMs' Attempts to Follow its Lead.?" *Electronic Buyers' News*. No. 1088 (December 15, 1997).
- Trommer, Diane** (1998). "Dell Plans Links with Parts Vendors." *Electronic Buyers' News*. June 8, 1998.
- Twain, Mark** (1883). *Life on the Mississippi*. Oxford / New York: Oxford University Press, 1990.
- Unfer, Louis** (1951). *Swift and Company: The Development of the Packing Industry*. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Illinois.
- Utterback, James and Suarez, Fernando** (1993). "Innovation, Competition, and Industry Structure." *Research Policy*. Vol. 22: 1-21.
- Varian, Hal R.** (2002). "If there was a New Economy, Why Wasn't there a New Economics?" *New York Times* (January, 17, 2002), p. C2).
- Veblen, Thorstein** (1898). "Why is Economics Not an Evolutionary Science?" *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 12: 373-97.
- Veblen, Thorstein** (1904). *The Theory of Business Enterprise*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1932.
- Vernon, Raymond** (1960). *Metropolis 1985*. New York: Anchor Books.
- Von Hippel, Eric** (1988). *The Sources Of Innovation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Wade, Louise Carroll** (1987). *Chicago's Pride: The Stockyards, Packingtown, and Environs in the Nineteenth Century*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Walker, Francis** (1906). "The 'Beef Trust' and the United States Government." *Economic Journal*. Vol. 16 (64): 491-514.
- Walker, R.** (1988). "The Geographical Organization of Production-systems." *Environment and Planning D*:

Society and Space. Vol. 6: 377-408.

Walsh, Margaret (1978). "The Spatial Evolution of the Mid-western Pork Industry, 1835-1875." *Journal of Historical Geography*. Vol. 4 (1): 1-22.

Walsh, Margaret (1982). *The Rise of the Midwestern Meat Packing Industry*. Lexington: University of Kentucky Press.

Weintraut, J. Neil (1997). "Introduction" to *Architects of the Web: 1000 Days that Built the Future of Business*, by Robert H. Reid. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

Williamson, Oliver E. (1975). *Markets and Hierarchies*. New York: Free Press.

Williamson, Oliver E. (1980). Emergence of the Visible Hand: Implications for Industrial Organization. *Managerial Hierarchies*. A.D. Chandler, Jr., ed. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. Press.

Williamson, Oliver E., and Ouchi, William G. (1981). The Markets and Hierarchies and Visible Hand Perspective. *Perspectives on Organization Design and Behavior*. Andrew H. Van De Ven and William F. Joyce, eds. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Wood, Lamont (1993). "Dell Computer Tries to Get Back on Track." *Electronic Business Buyer*. Vol. 19 (10): 152-154.

Wright, Carroll D. (1883). "The Factory System of the United States." U.S. Census Office, Report on the Manufactures of the United States at the 10th Census, June 1, 1880. Washington, DC, 1883, p. 548.

Yates, JoAnne (1986). "The Telegraph's Effect on Nineteenth Century Markets and Firms." *Business and Economic History*. Vol. 15: 149-163.

Yates, JoAnne (1989). *Control Through Communication: The Rise of System in American Management*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Yates, JoAnne (1997). "Using Giddens' Structuration Theory to Inform Business History." *Business and Economic History*. Vol. 26 (1):145-183.

Yates, Joanne, and Benjamin, Robert I. (1991). The Past and Present as a Window on the Future. *The Corporation of the 1990s: Information Technology and Organizational Transformation*. Michael S. Scott Morton, ed. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 61-92.

Yeager, Mary (1981). *Competition and Regulation: The Development of Oligopoly in the Meat Packing Industry*. Greenwich: JAI Press, Inc.

Young, Allyn (1928). "Increasing Returns and Economic Progress." *Economic Journal*. Vol. 38: 527-42.

Young, Allyn (1929). Big Business: How the Economic System Grows and Evolves Like a Living Organism. *Money and Growth: Selected Papers of Allyn Young*. Perry G. Mehrling and Roger J. Sandilands, eds. London: Routledge, 1999.

Zook, Mathew (2001). The Geography of the Internet Industry: Venture Capital, Internet Firms and Regional Development. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of City and Regional Planning. University of California, Berkeley.

Zysman, John (1994). "How Institutions Create Historically Rooted Trajectories of Growth." *Industrial and Corporate Change*. Vol. 3 (1): 1-41.

Government and Institutional Sources

Chicago Board of Trade (1864). *Sixth Annual Statement of the Trade and Commerce of Chicago for the Year*

Ending March 31, 1864. Chicago: Tribune Company.

Chicago Board of Trade (1879). *Twenty-First Annual Report of the Trade and Commerce for the Year Ending December 31, 1879.* Chicago: Knight & Leonard Printers

Chicago Board of Trade (1881). *Twenty-Third Annual Report of the Trade and Commerce for the Year Ending December 31, 1880.* Chicago: Knight & Leonard Printers

Chicago Board of Trade (1885). *Twenty-Sixth Annual Report of the Trade and Commerce for the Year Ending December 31, 1884.* Chicago: Knight & Leonard Printers

Federal Trade Commission (1919). *Report of the Federal Trade Commission on the Meat-Packing Industry.* 6 Parts. Washington: Government Printing Office.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (1885). *First Annual Report of the Bureau of Animal Industry for the Year 1884,* "Live Stock and Meat Traffic of Chicago," by Edward W. Perry, pp. 245-269.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (1889). *Fourth and Fifth Annual Reports of the Bureau of Animal Industry for the Years 1887 and 1888,* "Meat Industries of the United States," by H.C. Clark, pp. 359-378.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Census Office (1895). *Report on the Population of the United States at the Eleventh Census: 1890.* Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.

U.S. Bureau of Corporations (1905). *Report of the Commissioner of Corporations on the Beef Industry.* Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office.

U.S. House of Representatives, 37th Congress, 3rd Session (1863). *Report of the Commissioner of Agriculture for the Year 1862,* "Beef and Beef-Cattle of the West," by W.W. Corbett, pp. 326-335,

U.S. House of Representatives, 38th Congress, 3rd Session (1863). *Report of the Commissioner of Agriculture for the Year 1863.* "History of Pork Packing in Chicago." pp. 207-215.

U.S. Senate, 51st Congress, 1st Session (1890). U.S. Congressional Hearings, Vol. 3, no 829. *Select Committee on the Transportation and Sale of Meat Products* [known as Vest Committee Report].

U.S. Senate, Committee on Finance (1893). *Wholesale Prices, Wages, and Transportation: Report by Mr. Aldrich* [known as Aldrich Report]. 52nd Congress. 2nd Session. Senate Report 1493, 4 Volumes. Washington DC: Government Printing Office.

U.S. Bureau of the Census (1863). *1860 Census of Agriculture of the United States.* Washington: Government Printing Office.

U.S. Bureau of the Census (1872). *The Statistics of the Wealth and Industry of the United States.* Ninth Census. Volume III. Washington: Government Printing Office.

U.S. Bureau of the Census (1975). *Historical Statistics of the United States: Colonial Times to 1970.* Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce.

U.S. Department of Commerce (1998). *The Emerging Digital Economy.* Washington DC: Secretariat on Electronic Commerce.

U.S. Department of Commerce (2000). *Digital Economy 2000.* Washington DC: Economics and Statistics Administration, Secretariat on Electronic Commerce.

Journalistic Sources

- Andrews, Fred** (January 26, 2000). "Dell, It Turns Out, Has a Better Idea than Ford." *New York Times*. C12
- Aragon, Lawrence** (July 20, 1998). "Dell's Channel Secrets Revealed." *VAR Business*. No. 1415.
- Biederman, David** (May 10, 1999). "Plug and Play? No Way." *Traffic World*. 19-20.
- Briody, Dan** (August 25, 1997). "Dell Follows Industry Standards, but its Direct-Sales Model Leads." pp. 29-30.
- Business 2.0** (September, 1999). "The Web's Trillion-Dollar Secret: Business to Business Ecommerce." pp. 84-124.
- Business America** (January, 1998). "Various Definitions of Electronic Commerce." Vol. 119 (1): 44.
- Business Week** (June 22, 1998). "Doing Business in the Internet Age" pp. 121-172.
- Business Week** (December 7, 1998). "A New Cyber Order." pp. 27-31.
- Business Week** (February 22, 1999). "The Internet Economy." pp. 30-32.
- Business Week** (March 22, 1999). "e.biz." pp. E6-E49.
- Business Week** (September 27, 1999). "The e.biz 25." pp. E6-E49.
- Business Week** (June 21, 1999). "Information Technology Annual Report." pp. 117-152.
- Business Week** (October 4, 1999). "The Internet Age." pp. 69-206.
- Business Week** (January 17, 2000). "Business to Business: The Hottest Bet on the Net?" pp. 36-38.
- Business Week** (January 17, 2000). "Customizing Compaq." p. 44.
- Business Week** (March 13, 2000). "E-Malls for Business." pp. 32-34.
- Business Week** (March 27, 2000). "Such Busy Bees in B2B." p. 50.
- Business Week** (August 28, 2000). "The 21st Century Corporation." pp. 75-214.
- Business Week** (September, 11, 2000). "Why B2B is a Scary Place to Be." pp. 34-37.
- Business Week** (October 9, 2000). "The Big Kahuna of B2B Exchanges? Glen Meakem's FreeMarkets Could be One of the Few Survivors of the Bloody Shakeout Ahead." pp. 166E2-166E4.
- Business Week** (December 4, 2000). "Let's Keep this Exchange to Ourselves: The B2B Boom Moves from the General to the Company-specific." P. 48.
- Business Week** (September 24, 2001). "Dell, The Conqueror: Now the King of Cutthroat Pricing is Looking Beyond PCs." pp. 92-102.
- Business Week** (May 13, 2002). "How E-Biz Rose, Fell, and Will Rise Anew." pp. 64-72.
- Business Week** (June 17, 2002). "HP and Compaq: It's Showtime." pp. 76-77.
- Business Week** (June 24, 2002). "Who will Master the Server Biz?" pp. 92-93.
- Business Week** (August 12, 2002). "Whose Lunch Will Dell Eat Next?" pp. 66-67.

- Cassidy, John** (April 26 & May 3, 1999). "The Woman in the Bubble." *The New Yorker*. pp. 48-66.
- Cassidy, William B.** (June 30, 1997). "Electronic Commerce on the Internet is Real X Just Ask Dell Computer, Corporate Express." *Traffic World*. 20-24.
- Caruso, Denise** (February 14, 2000). "The Internet Relies on Networks' Passing Data to One Another But What Happens if One of them Refuses?" *New York Times*. P. C4.
- Deutsch, Claudia T.** (April 8, 2000). "Another Economy on the Supply Side: For Purchasing Managers, Net is Friend and Foe." *New York Times*. C1, C4.
- Dodge, John** (September 26, 2000). "Dell's Internet-Based Plant Keeps Production Efficient." *Wall Street Journal.com*. <http://cob.jmu.edu/williakc/mktg470/dailyupdate/netbasedplant.htm>
- Economist** (June 26, 1999). "The Net Imperative." pp. 1-40
- Economist** (March 2, 1991). "Dell Computer: It's in the Mail."
- Fisher, Daniel** (June 10, 2002). "Pulled in a New Direction." *Forbes*. pp. 102-112.
- Gaither, Chris** (January 23, 2001). "Despite Strong Sales, Dell Warns of Lower-than-Expected Earnings." *New York Times*. C1, C5.
- Gaither, Chris** (August 28, 2001). "Intel Selling Two-Gigahertz Chips." *New York Times*. C4.
- Gladwell, Malcolm** (December 6, 1999). "Clicks & Mortar." *The New Yorker*. pp. 107-115.
- Goldstein, Alan** (August 31, 1997). "Rivals Seek to Mimic Dell's Success." *Dallas Morning News*.
- Holson, Laura M.** (November 19, 1998). "The Cisco Whiz Kid: Young Deal Maker is Force Behind Company's Growth." *New York Times*. C1, C9.
- Kanellos, Michael** (June 20, 1997). "PC Vendors Take the Direct Challenge." *CNET News.com*. <http://news.cnet.com/news/0-1003-202-319914.html>
- Kirkpatrick, David** (February, 17, 1997). "Why Compaq Envious Dell." *Fortune*. P. 26.
- Kirkpatrick, David** (September 8, 1997). "Now Everyone in PCs Wants to Be Like Mike: Michael Dell, That is." *Fortune*. pp. 91-92.
- Lewis, Geoff** (February 2, 1987). "The Hottest Little Computer Maker In Texas: PC's Ltd. Started in a dorm. Now its Clones have hit it big." *Business Week*. pp. 71-72.
- Lohr, Steve** (April 28, 1997). "Beyond Consumers, Companies Pursue Business-to-Business Net Commerce." *New York Times*. D1.
- Lohr, Steve** (April 14, 1999). "Computer Age Gains Respect of Economists." *New York Times*. A1, C14.
- Lohr, Steve** (August 25, 2002). "On a Roll, Dell Enters Uncharted Territory." *New York Times*.
- Lohr, Steve and Markoff, John** (November 24, 1998). "Conquering the Internet." *New York Times*. A1, C4.
- McWilliams, Gary** (April 7, 1997). "Michael Dell: Whirlwind on the Web." *Business Week*. pp. 132-136.
- McWilliams, Gary and White, Joseph B.** (December 1, 1999). "Dell to Detroit: Get Into Gear Online." *Wall Street Journal*. pp. B1, B4.
- Mercador, Nicholas** (June 27, 2001). "Never Underestimate the Power of a Business Model." *Business Model*

Insider. <http://www.businessmodelx.com/cgi-bin/inclusions.cgi?id=63&type=1>

Mount, Ian and Caulfield, Brian (May, 2001). "The Missing Link: What You Need to Know About Supply-Chain Technology." *Business 2.0*.

Perman Stacy (July, 2001). "Automate or Die: ...Dell Computer Won't Stop Remaking its Business. Its Three-part Strategy for Ultimate Victory? Web, Web, and Web." *Business 2.0*.

Riordan, Teresa (April 10, 2000). "Historians take a Longer View of Net Battles." *New York Times*. C1, C4.

Rocks, David (September 18, 2000). "Dell's Second Web Revolution." *Business Week*.

Roth, Daniel (1999). "Dell's Big New Act: Michael Dell, perhaps the most successful e-merchant in the world, wants to teach you and your company how to master the Internet." *Business 2.0*. July, 1999.
<http://www.ecompany.com/articles/mag/print/0,1643,5577,FF.html>

Saccomano, Ann (February 27, 1995). "Dell Computers, SmithKline Beecham Outsource Logistics Management Needs." *Traffic World*. 54-55.

Saccomano, Ann (April 27, 1998). "A Study in Contrasts: First-quarter Earnings of Compaq, Apple show Inventory can Mean Everything" *Traffic World*. 44-45.

Saccomano, Ann (July 26, 1999). "Dell Computer Builds Service." *Traffic World*. 26-27.

Tedeschi, Bob (April 19, 1999). "The Net's Real Business Happens .Com to .Com." *New York Times*. C1, C6.

Tedeschi, Bob (January 24, 2000). "A Mad Dash to Create Electronic Marketplaces for Business-to-business Transactions." *New York Times*. C10.

Tedeschi, Bob (July 22, 2002). "The 25 Top E'tailers and the Various Approaches They Use – From Catalogs to Hand-holding." *New York Times*. C6.